



Potential for Code Enforcement Shared Services in Wichita and Sedgwick County

Terry Woodbury

Public Square Communities, LLC



Design Process

Phase I: Investigation and Discovery

PSC conducted interviews with 94 stakeholders

Phase II: Design Team Creation

 Representatives of stakeholder groups nominated to serve on the Design Team

Phase III: Information Gathering

Sub-teams focused on 6 functional areas

Phase IV: Design

- New service delivery model
- Accountability plan for implementation process

Functional Area Sub-teams

• Functional Area Sub-teams:

- 1. Neighborhood Code Enforcement
 - (Currently no potential for combined services)
- 2. Building and Trade Boards
- 3. Zoning and Sign Codes
- 4. Licenses and Certificates
- 5. Plan Review
- 6. Data Management

Building and Trade Boards

- Combine the 4 city and county building and trade boards
 - Each board will make code recommendations and hear appeals and variances in both the city and county
 - One stop for review of new products, materials and methods
 - Increase consistency between city and county codes

Zoning and Sign Code

- Combine city and county sign code enforcement and, where possible, zoning code enforcement
 - Single sign contractor license
 - Single point "one stop shop" for sign permit submittal
 - Single point for reporting zoning and possibly sign complaints
- Combine the city and county sign codes

Licenses and Certificates

- Combine city and county licenses and certificates
- Combine application and renewal processes
 - Renewals every 2 years
- Explore further opportunities to consolidate individual licenses
 - Reduce overlapping of licenses

Plan Review

- Single point for plan review
- More combination inspectors
 - Trades as well as residential and commercial building
- Utilize staff geographically with uniform software
 - Single permitting, inspection and licensing/certification tracking system

Data Management

- Combine city and county data management systems into a single system
 - Both city's and county's current systems are obsolete
- Catalyst for...
 - One-stop shop for permits, licenses and certificates
 - Mobile technology immediate assignments and inspection results
 - Business transactions through web based portal

Summary of Recommendations

The Design Team recommends:

- Moving to a single entity by 2013
- Meanwhile, implement shared services
 - Combine building and trade boards
 - Develop a single data management system
- Meanwhile:
 - Combine sign and zoning inspections and codes
 - Combine city and county licenses and certificates
 - Combine city and county plan review
 - Use inspectors geographically

Benefits

Efficiency

- Reduces duplication
- Saves internal stakeholders and customers time and money

Responsiveness

- Streamlines approval of new methods and technologies
- "One-stop shop" for business related to building and trades

Customer Service

 A single code enforcement entity would increase predictability and reliability

<u>Implementation Process</u>

Still need to address the following issues:

- Funding
- Employee compensation, benefits and retirement plans
- Waste and Well Water Codes
- Animal Control
- Floodplain management
- Differing development standards
- Relationships and contracts with other jurisdictions
- Vehicles, equipment and technology
- Location large enough for group
- Marketing/communication of the single entity

Next Steps

- Identify the Managing Partner
 - Sub-team currently working to develop a recommendation
 - Either city or county
- Accountability
 - Design Team eager to serve as an advisory board during the implementation process
 - Will meet periodically to review progress and adjust as needed